

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND**

**ROBERT FRAZIER, *et al.*,**

**Plaintiffs,**

**v.**

**PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MARYLAND  
*et al.*,**

**Defendants.**

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

**Case No. 8:22-cv-1768 PJM**

**DEFENDANT PRINCE GEORGE’S COUNTY, MARYLAND’S STATUS REPORT**

Defendant Prince George’s County, Maryland, by and through undersigned counsel, respectfully submits a Status Report attaching its Revised Pretrial Release Standard Operating Procedure (SOP), Pretrial Release document and response to the Court’s Appendix A (ECF 90, p. 23).

1. The Court ordered Defendant Prince George’s County, Maryland (hereinafter “County”) to submit its revised Pretrial Release Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) following a telephone conference with the parties. ECF 104. On April 4, 2023, the Court granted the County an extension of time to provide the Court with its revised SOP to April 28, 2023.

2. Defendant not only revised its Pretrial Release Program SOP but also developed a handout to be provided to the criminal Defendant and any member of the public that summarizes the County’s pretrial release program in simple terms. Also, the information contained in the handout will be posted on the Prince George’s County’s Department of Corrections website to enhance transparency and inform the public. Exhibit 1, PGCDOP SOP and Exhibit 2, Pretrial Release document.

3. The revised SOP provides realistic timeframes for program eligibility determinations and notifications if the Defendant is found ineligible. All eligibility determinations will be made within ten (10) days. And in the Program Eligibility section, there are four requirements that cannot be waived for acceptance into the pretrial release program.

4. Defendant also submits its answers to the Court's questions posed in Appendix A of its Memorandum Opinion. ECF 90 and Exhibit 3.

5. Defendant contends that its submissions address Plaintiff's concerns that are within the purview, authority, and control of PGCDOC and the questions raised by the Court.

6. As to the County, Plaintiff's remaining claim centers on transparency and the County's submission addresses the claim. Thus, there is no longer a live case and controversy against the County because the revised SOP and Pretrial Release document moot Plaintiff's remaining claim.

WHEREFORE, Defendant respectfully requests that this Court issue an Order dismissing the Complaint in its entirety for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction under Rule 12(b)(1) because a live case and controversy no longer exists between the one remaining detained Plaintiff and the County.

Respectfully submitted,

*Shelley L Johnson*

Shelley L. Johnson  
Deputy County Attorney, #15853  
Prince George's County Office of Law  
1301 McCormick Drive, Suite 4100  
Largo, Maryland 20774  
(301) 952-5225  
[Sljohnson@co.pg.md.us](mailto:Sljohnson@co.pg.md.us).

*Andrew J. Murray*

Andrew J. Murray,  
Associate County Attorney, #10511  
Prince George's County Office of Law  
1301 McCormick Drive, Suite 4100  
Largo, Maryland 20774  
(301) 952-5225 voice  
(301) 952-3071 facsimile  
[Ajmurray@co.pg.md.us](mailto:Ajmurray@co.pg.md.us)

Filed: 04/28/23